FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (WHEN COMPLETED)

| | CE EVALUATION FRUCTION) | ; ; | | FA 5685-05-C-0044 |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|---|
| IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse. | | | | |
| PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA | | | | |
| 3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (X one) INTERIM (List percentage %) 5. CONTRACTOR (Name, Address, and ZIP Code) AYTEKIN SEROL Cons. Co. Ltd. | X FINAL | AMENDED 6.a. PROCUREMEN | | NATED FOR DEFAULT |
| Resatbey Mah. 21 Sok. Onur Apt. No:11/1 Adana/Turkey | | SEALED BID NEGOTIATED | | |
| 7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK | | ř | | |
| Improve AYA Playground Area, Bldg. #945. | | | | |
| 8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING | | | | |
| 9. FISCAL DATA B. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$ 271,666.92 | b. TOTAL AMOUNT C MODIFICATIONS \$ 24,480.00 | DAMAGE: | S ASSESSED | d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$ 296,146.92 |
| 10. SIGNIFICANT DATES 28 Sep 07 | b. ORIGINAL CONTRA COMPLETION DAT 15 Nov 08 | E COMPLET | CONTRACT TON DATE Oct 08 | d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 15 Dec 08 |
| PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR | | | | |
| 11. OVERALL RATING (X appropriate block) | | | | NSATISFACTORY (Explain |
| OUTSTANDING X ABOVE AVERAGE | SATISFACTORY | MARGINAL | | tem 20 on reverse) |
| 12. EVALUATED BY a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) 39 CES/CECEC | | | b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Ares Code) 6-8020 | |
| s. RAME AND TITLE Derya Aksay, Construction Inspector | d. SIGNATURE Deryatus | 7 | | e. DATE 29 Dec 08 |
| 13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY « ORGANIZATION (Name and Audress (Include ZIP) | Codall | 11 | 1 TELEPHON | E NUMBER (Include Area |
| 39 CONS/LGCA | | 1 1 | Codel | 6-8084 |
| ROYGIN ROLLOWIZ SAVILAGO | H. SIGNATURE) | | | 12 Jan 07 |
| 14. AGENCY USE (Distribution, etc.) | / / | 0 | | |

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (WHEN COMPLETED)

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

MLA = NOT APPLICABLE | O = OUTSTANDING | A = ABOVE AVERAGE | S = SATISFACTORY | M = MARGINAL | U = UNSATISFACTORY 15. QUALITY CONTROL N/AL O А S U 16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT M 0 Α S a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS \mathbf{X} b. ADEQUACY OF THE COC PLAN b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/ X PERSONNEL c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COC X PLAN c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF X SUBCONTRACTOR(S) d. QUALITY OF QC X DOCUMENTATION d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP e, STORAGE OF MATERIALS EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE × \mathbf{X} SUPERVISION 1. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND f. X h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING REGULATIONS g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF X SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES **I. IDENTIFICATION/CORRECTION OF** DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY IMPLEMENTATION OF \times SUBCONTRACTING PLAN 17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE 18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS X SCHEDULE a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES 5. ADHERENCE TO APPROVED b. PAYROLLS PROPERLY COMPLETED × X SCHEDULE AND SUBMITTED : RESOLUTION OF DELAYS COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR LAWS AND REGULATIONS WITH SPECIFIC d. SUBMISSION OF REQUIRED ATTENTION TO THE DAVIS-BACOM X DOCUMENTATION ACT AND EEO REQUIREMENTS e. COMPLETION OF PUNCHLIST 19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY × **STANDARDS** ITEMS a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN f. SUBMISSION OF UPDATED AND X REVISED PROGRESS SCHEDULES X b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN X g. WARRANTY RESPONSE c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES

20. REMARKS (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)

The contractor was rated above average due to his management skills and work quality. The contractor performed very well.