



FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (WHEN COMPLETED)

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONSTRUCTION)		1. CONTRACT NUMBER FA5685-09-D-0003-3010	
		2. CEC NUMBER	
IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.			
PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA			
3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (<i>X one</i>)		4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT	
<input type="checkbox"/> INTERIM (<i>List percentage _____ %</i>) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL		<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED	
5. CONTRACTOR (<i>Name, Address, and ZIP Code</i>) AYTEKIN SEROL Cons. Company Resatbey Mah 21 sokak Onur Apt No. 11/1 Adana/Turkey.		6.a. PROCUREMENT METHOD (<i>X one</i>)	
		<input type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED	
		b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (<i>X one</i>)	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> COST REIMBURSEMENT	
		OTHER (<i>Specify</i>)	
7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK LJYC 09-1091, Install Reverse Osmosis Unit, Fac. 33 Reverse Osmosis system with all accessories, pipes, pumps, all type of valves, tanks, sensors, control panel & power boards and cables.			
8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING			
9. FISCAL DATA	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$ 489,924.00	b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS \$ 0.00	c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED \$ 0.00
	d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$ 489,924.00		
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES	a. DATE OF AWARD 20100920	b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 20110701	c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE —
	d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 20110516		
PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR			
11. OVERALL RATING (<i>X appropriate block</i>)			
<input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE <input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY <input type="checkbox"/> MARGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY (<i>Explain in Item 20 on reverse</i>)			
12. EVALUATED BY			
a. ORGANIZATION (<i>Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)</i>) 39 CES/CECEC		b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (<i>Include Area Code</i>) 676-8020	
c. NAME AND TITLE Caner San, Construction Inspector		d. SIGNATURE 	e. DATE 20110823
13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY			
a. ORGANIZATION (<i>Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)</i>) 39 CONS/LGCA		b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (<i>Include Area Code</i>) 6768084	
c. NAME AND TITLE ERIC A. PUNJABI Contract Administrator		d. SIGNATURE 	e. DATE 20110825
14. AGENCY USE (<i>Distribution, etc.</i>)			

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (WHEN COMPLETED)

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY

15. QUALITY CONTROL							16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT						
N/A	O	A	S	M	U	N/A	O	A	S	M	U		
a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP			X			a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS			X				
b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN			X			b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/ PERSONNEL			X				
c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC PLAN			X			c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF SUBCONTRACTOR(S)			X				
d. QUALITY OF QC DOCUMENTATION			X			d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP				X			
e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS			X			e. EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE SUPERVISION				X			
f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS			X			f. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS	X						
g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS			X			g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT				X			
h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING			X			h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES				X			
i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS			X			i. IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PLAN				X			
j. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS			X			17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE							
k. IDENTIFICATION/CORRECTION OF DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER			X			a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS SCHEDULE			X				
						18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS							
						a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES	X						
						b. PAYROLLS PROPERLY COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED	X						
						c. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR LAWS AND REGULATIONS WITH SPECIFIC ATTENTION TO THE DAVIS-BACON ACT AND EEO REQUIREMENTS	X						
						19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS							
						a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN				X			
						b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN				X			
						c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES				X			
						20. REMARKS (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)							

The contractor completed the project 19 days earlier. He was rated above average due to his skills in the area of construction management in terms of coordination and control of subcontractors, effectiveness of job site supervision, quality of QC documentation and workmanship.