

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONSTRUCTION)		1. CONTRACT NUMBER FA568509D0003 3020	
		2. CEC NUMBER 366452191	
IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.			
PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA			
3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (<i>X one</i>)		4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT	
<input type="checkbox"/> INTERIM (<i>List percentage</i> <u>100</u> %)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL	
		<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED	
5. CONTRACTOR (<i>Name, Address, and ZIP Code</i>) AYTEKIN-SEROL INS MUTEAHHIT-MUHENDIS RESATBEY MAH 21 SOK ONUR APT 11/1 ADANA 01120 TU NAICS Code: 238190		6.a. PROCUREMENT METHOD (<i>X one</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED	
		b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (<i>X one</i>) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> COST REIMBURSEMENT	
		<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (<i>Specify</i>)	
7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK RPR Hush House Bldg. 2115 c/w hangar doors, cleaning of the facility, relocation and repair of government trailers, new power lines, panel boards, transformers, underground duct line with cabling for communication and power utilities.			
8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING			
9. FISCAL DATA	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$643,016	b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS \$440,151	c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES	a. DATE OF AWARD 09/21/2011	b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 01/18/2012	c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 07/10/2012
			d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$1,083,167
			d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 07/05/2012
PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR			
11. OVERALL RATING (<i>X appropriate block</i>)			
<input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	<input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY	<input type="checkbox"/> MARGINAL
			<input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY (<i>Explain in Item 20 on reverse</i>)
12. EVALUATED BY			
a. ORGANIZATION (<i>Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)</i>) 39 CONS		b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (<i>Include Area Code</i>) 011-90-322-316-8083	
c. NAME AND TITLE LATORIA GLOVER CONTRACT SPECIALIST		d. SIGNATURE //Electronically Signed//	e. DATE 08/01/2012
13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY			
a. ORGANIZATION (<i>Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)</i>) 39 CONS		b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (<i>Include Area Code</i>) 011-90-322-316-8083	
c. NAME AND TITLE LATORIA GLOVER CONTRACT SPECIALIST		d. SIGNATURE //Electronically Signed//	e. DATE 08/14/2012
14. AGENCY USE (<i>Distribution, etc.</i>)			

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY

15. QUALITY CONTROL							16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT						
N/A	O	A	S	M	U	N/A	O	A	S	M	U		
		X						X					
		X						X					
		X						X					
		X						X					
		X						X					
X								X					
		X						X					
		X						X					
		X						X					
		X						X					
		X						X					
		X				X							
17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE							18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS						
		X				X							
		X				X							
X						X							
		X				19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS							
		X						X					
		X						X					
		X						X					

20. REMARKS (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)

Small Business Utilization
 Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No
 Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A
EVALUATOR REMARKS: The contractor was rated above average due to his management skills and the quality of his workmanship. The contractor prepared and submitted shop drawings and material submittals for approval right after the CNTP and performed the the work items which were urgent for the user mission. Each phase of the project have been completed on time and delivered to the user after BOD acceptances with no discrepancy. Therefore the contractor was rated above average for this important project.

CONTRACTOR REMARKS: Thank you for your evaluation and consideration.
 But we believe this project has to get more than "ABOVE AVERAGE" rating due to the physical working conditions and time constraints. There is no delay during the phasing between separate work items,also foam sprinkler system is the first installation on the Base and completed without any discrepancy including testing.
 After the final inspection and after the project has been accepted by the end USER we receive a "Letter of Recommendation" from 414 Expeditionary Reconnaissance Squadron Commander Major Melvin A.MARTIN.Letter of recommendation may be email upon request and/or for any additional questions/clarifications please contact with Major Melvin A.MARTIN via melvin.martin@incirlik.af.mil. If possible we will be more than happy if you can reevaluate the project.

CONCURRENCE: I concur with this evaluation.
CONTRACTOR NAME: ISMAIL AYTEKIN

(continued...)

20. REMARKS (...continued)

TITLE: MANAGING DIRECTOR

PHONE: 00905324218737

DATE: 08/10/2012

REVIEWER REMARKS: NA