

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (WHEN COMPLETED)

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONSTRUCTION)	1. CONTRACT NUMBER FA5685-05-C-0006
	2. CEC NUMBER

IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.

PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA

3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (X one) <input type="checkbox"/> INTERIM (List percentage %) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED	4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT <input type="checkbox"/>
5. CONTRACTOR (Name, Address, and ZIP Code) Aytekin Serol Insaat. Mut. Muh. ve Ins. Paz. Resatbey Mah. 21 Sok. Onur Ap. No:11-1 01120 /Adana	6.a. PROCUREMENT METHOD (X one) <input type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED
	b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (X one) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> COST REIMBURSEMENT
	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)

7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK

1. Provide vapor free interior tank, clean tank from any fuel/contaminated water.
2. Apply abresive blast on existing interior wall & floor surfaces, repair all leaks with welding.
3. Apply special steel fibrous concrete on interior wall & floor surfaces.
4. Apply single skin leak protection lining on interior tank surfaces.
5. Apply GFM fundix coatin on roof & column.
6. Renovate pump house and install GFM pumps c/w fuel piping, valves, high level shut down, gauge and electrical connections.
7. Provide & install power cable from building 215 and Pump house.
8. Install GFM pump control panel c/w lighting & grounding.
9. TAB the system.

8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING


9. FISCAL DATA	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$ 1,476,300.00	b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS \$	c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED \$	d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$ 1,476,300.00
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES	a. DATE OF AWARD 27 September 2005	b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 23 November 2006	c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE	d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 2 October 2006

PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR


11. OVERALL RATING (X appropriate block)

<input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	<input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY	<input type="checkbox"/> MARGINAL	<input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY (Explain in Item 20 on reverse)
--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

12. EVALUATED BY

a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) 39CES/CECEC	b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 676-8020
c. NAME AND TITLE Mehmet Arslan, Constuction Inspector	d. SIGNATURE 
	e. DATE 6 Nov 06

13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY

a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) 39 CONS/LGCA	b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 676-8084
c. NAME AND TITLE THOMAS B. MOSS Contracting Officer	d. SIGNATURE 
	e. DATE 21 NOV 06

14. AGENCY USE (Distribution, etc.)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (WHEN COMPLETED)

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY

15. QUALITY CONTROL							16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT						
N/A	O	A	S	M	U	N/A	O	A	S	M	U		
a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP			X			a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS			X				
b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN				X		b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/ PERSONNEL			X				
c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC PLAN				X		c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF SUBCONTRACTOR(S)			X				
d. QUALITY OF QC DOCUMENTATION			X			d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP				X			
e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS				X		e. EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE SUPERVISION			X				
f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS			X			f. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS			X				
g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS			X			g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT				X			
h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING				X		h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES				X			
i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS				X		i. IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PLAN			X				
j. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS			X			17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE							
k. IDENTIFICATION/CORRECTION OF DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER			X			a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS SCHEDULE				X			
						18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS							
						a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES				X			
						b. PAYROLLS PROPERLY COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED							
						c. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR LAWS AND REGULATIONS WITH SPECIFIC ATTENTION TO THE DAVIS-BACON ACT AND EEO REQUIREMENTS				X			
						19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS							
						a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN					X		
						b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN					X		
						c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES				X			
20. REMARKS (<i>Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.</i>)													

Contractor's means and method of application of shotcrete concrete and lining for interior tank surface was very good. Coordination with the government and other units during the construction period was excellent. The project was completed (51 days) ahead of schedule. He coordinated very well with his subcontractor despite using a new method of concrete placement (shotcrete). In summary, performance evaluation of contractor is above average.

THOMAS B. MOSS
Contracting Officer