

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (WHEN COMPLETED)

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONSTRUCTION)	1. CONTRACT NUMBER FA5685-07-C-0036
	2. CEC NUMBER

IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.

PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA

3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (<i>X one</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> INTERIM (<i>List percentage _____ %</i>) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED	4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT <input type="checkbox"/>
5. CONTRACTOR (<i>Name, Address, and ZIP Code</i>) AYTEKIN SEROL Cons. Company Resatbey Mah 21 Sok Onur Apt No. 11/1 Adana/Turkey.	6.a. PROCUREMENT METHOD (<i>X one</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID <input type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (<i>X one</i>) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> COST REIMBURSEMENT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (<i>Specify</i>)

7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK

 Provide and install new addressable fire alarm system c/w all wiring in Bldgs. 75, 564, 625, 640 and 2550, ultra sensitive smoke detection system in Bldg. 2550, and sprinkler system pumps c/w all accessories in Bldg. 564.

8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING

9. FISCAL DATA	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$ 139,670.70	b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS \$ 0.00	c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED \$ 0.00	d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$ 139,670.70
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES	a. DATE OF AWARD 20 Sep 07	b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 11 Aug 08	c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE	d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 27 Aug 08

PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR

11. OVERALL RATING (*X appropriate block*)

<input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	<input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY	<input type="checkbox"/> MARGINAL	<input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY (<i>Explain in Item 20 on reverse</i>)
--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--

12. EVALUATED BY

a. ORGANIZATION (<i>Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)</i>) 39 CES/CECEC	b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (<i>Include Area Code</i>) 676-8020	
c. NAME AND TITLE Bulent Cetinel, Construction Inspector	d. SIGNATURE 	e. DATE 23 Sep 08

13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY

a. ORGANIZATION (<i>Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)</i>) 39 CONS/LGCA	b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (<i>Include Area Code</i>) 676-8084	
c. Roberto Rodriguez-Santiago Contracting Officer	d. SIGNATURE 	e. DATE 10 Nov 08

14. AGENCY USE (*Distribution, etc.*)

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY

15. QUALITY CONTROL							16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT						
N/A	O	A	S	M	U		N/A	O	A	S	M	U	
a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP			X				a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS				X		
b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN				X			b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/ PERSONNEL			X			
c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC PLAN				X			c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF SUBCONTRACTOR(S)			X			
d. QUALITY OF QC DOCUMENTATION				X			d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP			X			
e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS				X			e. EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE SUPERVISION				X		
f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS			X				f. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS	X					
g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS			X				g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT				X		
h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING			X				h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES	X					
i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS				X			i. IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PLAN				X		
j. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS			X										
k. IDENTIFICATION/CORRECTION OF DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER				X									
17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE							18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS						
a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS SCHEDULE			X				a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES	X					
b. ADHERENCE TO APPROVED SCHEDULE			X				b. PAYROLLS PROPERLY COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED	X					
c. RESOLUTION OF DELAYS	X						c. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR LAWS AND REGULATIONS WITH SPECIFIC ATTENTION TO THE DAVIS-BACON ACT AND EEO REQUIREMENTS	X					
d. SUBMISSION OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION				X									
e. COMPLETION OF PUNCHLIST ITEMS			X				19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS						
f. SUBMISSION OF UPDATED AND REVISED PROGRESS SCHEDULES	X						a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN			X			
g. WARRANTY RESPONSE	X						b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN			X			
							c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES				X		

20. REMARKS (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)

The contractor was rated above average due to his management skills and the quality of his work. The contractor completed the project before the contract completion date of 11 Aug 08. However the project was accepted on 27 Aug 08 due to scheduling process of the Pre-Final Inspection. The Pre-Final Inspection was scheduled for 26 Aug 08 due to unavailability of the O&M Fire Alarm Shop supervisor. Overall the contractor performed above average.