

NAVFAC/USACE PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ-0)

CONTRACT INFORMATION (Contractor to complete Blocks 1-4)

1. Contractor InformationFirm Name: **AYTEKIN-SEROL ENG CONS TRADE CO LTD.**CAGE Code: **T5448**Address: **RESATBEY MAH 21 SOK ONUR APT 11/1,01120 SEYHAN/ADANA**DUNS Number: **366452191**Phone Number: **+90 322 4531343**Email Address: msa@aytekin-serol.comPoint of Contact: **MEHMET AYTEKIN** Contact Phone Number: **+90533 591 7726**2. **Work Performed as:** Prime Contractor Sub Contractor Joint Venture Other (Explain)Percent of project work performed: **Completed**

If subcontractor, who was the prime (Name/Phone #):

GILBANE FEDERAL CO

Ronnie Taylor – Fuels Project Manager

Cell: 850.533-0348

Email: rtaylor1@gilbaneco.com**3. Contract Information**Contract Number: **FA8903-09-D-8567**Delivery/Task Order Number (if applicable): **TO#30**Contract Type: Firm Fixed Price Cost Reimbursement Other (Please specify):Contract Title: **RepairTank4**Contract Location: **Incirlik AB , TURKEY**Award Date (mm/dd/yy): **09/22/2013**Contract Completion Date (mm/dd/yy): **07/30/2014**Actual Completion Date (mm/dd/yy): **10/17/2014**Explain Differences: **Due to TurAF process actual works started 79 days late.**Original Contract Price (Award Amount): **\$ 1,994,790.00**Final Contract Price (include all mods, if applicable): **\$2,013,691.21**Explain Differences: **Modification for excavation and backfilling works to replace existing storm drainage and construct new catch basin c/w concrete repair with vegetation .****4. Project Description:**Complexity of Work High Med Routine

How is this project relevant to project of submission? (Please provide details such as similar equipment, requirements, conditions, etc.) **It was a challenge to perform work activities in old tank where fuel leakage could cause unsafe environment. Works includes;**

- **The tank floor and shell have been refurbished by special polypropylene fibrous concrete and fiberglasslining application on existing steel floor.**
- **Repairing roof plates and replacing of steel column and/or repairing (plumbness).**
- **Constructing new foundation ,apply Leak Protection lining at the columns up to1.00m height, Interior tank coating .**
- **The new coating is applied on tank columns (over 1.00 m height on columns) according toNATO standard specification STS-M67.**

We have performed all of the work activities for subject as a key subcontractor. During the construction period Gilbane company safety manager has visit the construction site several times to eliminate lack of safety regulation in contact with our safety officer. As a representantive of Tankbau we have performed majority of work in coordination with Tankbau. Firstly we have started with vapor freeing interior tank followed by removal of sludges c/w all interior tank cleaning afterword applied abrasive blasting of the tank surfaces with edged granulated steel as "Hazardous material abatement and disposal work". We have either replaced or repair c/w plumbness steel coloumns with supporting interior tanks and adjust height and installed fuel transfer and sump pumps c/w exproof motor, flow switch, foot valve etc and also coated Tank Roof and Columns as Renovations of buildings or barracks with detailed descriptions of all major building systems repaired or replaced", we have applied with certified tank lining company ,TBA, Fiber Lining on New Concrete Surface, and consturct New Reinforced Concrete Floor c/w steel fiber an waterproof applied Shotcrete for shell walls and coloums including reinforcement c/w pp fiber as "Concrete and/or asphalt roads, pavements, airfield runway work".

NAVFAC / USACE Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ)

Form PPQ- 0 (9/30/11)

No major contractual problems have been encountered during the project lifecycle and also in the warranty period. We are using MS Project Software according as PMI methodology to manage the project from the award to the end of the warranty period. Five of our engineers, our Project Managers, have been already Project Management Certified from Project Management Institute. We are using critical path method to determine possible delays or conflicts before the work in progress. We take our action with our qualified and experienced technical crew. We also made cost control with both Primavera and MS Project software. We do our daily inspections and weekly staff meetings. We also make weekly, monthly and per 3 month schedule to prevent possible delays and problems.

There were no safety problems or accidents occurred during the performance of the work. We have 1 "B" grade Safety Manager, 2 OSHA certified Site Safety Supervisors and 5 engineers who have "TOP 5 Killers, Site Safety" training/certificate from the TUSEG. Weekly safety meeting has been held by the site crew and the subcontractors. All work has been performed in accordance with OSHA such as PPE usage like safety shoes, hard hat, safety belts and shoring after excavation, scaffolding, working on the elevated platforms and all other required safety precautions. Daily QC reporting has been coordinated with site crew to project manager, subcontractor to project manager through site superintendant and project manager to admin. contracting officer.

For the Quality of the product we proceed with 3 phase inspection methodology. We have engineers from all the disciplines who have "Construction Quality Management for Contractors" certificate from TUSEG. You can find details in our Management Plan.

CLIENT INFORMATION (Client to complete Blocks 5-8)

5. Client Information

Name: Michael Gladson

Title: Project Manager, COR AFCEC/CFSD 210 2944180 cell

Phone Number:

Email Address: michael.gladson@us.af.mil

6. Describe the client's role in the project: COR PM

7. Date Questionnaire was completed (mm/dd/yy): 01/30/2015

8. Client's Signature:

NOTE: NAVFAC/USACE requests that the client completes this questionnaire and submits directly back to the offeror. The offeror will submit the completed questionnaire to USACE with their proposal, and may duplicate this questionnaire for future submission on USACE solicitations. Clients are highly encouraged to submit questionnaires directly to the offeror. However, questionnaires may be submitted directly to USACE. Please contact the offeror for USACE POC information. The Government reserves the right to verify any and all information on this form.

NAVFAC / USACE Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ)

Form PPQ -0 (9/30/11)

*ADJECTIVE RATINGS AND DEFINITIONS TO BE USED TO BEST REFLECT
YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE*

| RATING | DEFINITION | NOTE |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| (E) Exceptional | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was highly effective. | An Exceptional rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed multiple significant events that were of benefit to the Government/Owner. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also, there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. |
| (VG) Very Good | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective. | A Very Good rating is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed a significant event that was a benefit to the Government/Owner. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified. |
| (S) Satisfactory | Performance meets minimum contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. | A Satisfactory rating is appropriate when there were only minor problems, or major problems that the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. Per DOD policy, a fundamental principle of assigning ratings is that contractors will not be assessed a rating lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract. |
| (M) Marginal | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. | A Marginal is appropriate when a significant event occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming which impacted the Government/Owner. |
| (U) Unsatisfactory | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective. | An Unsatisfactory rating is appropriate when multiple significant events occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming and which impacted the Government/Owner. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory rating. |
| (N) Not Applicable | No information or did not apply to your contract | Ra Rating will be neither positive nor negative. |

TO BE COMPLETED BY CLIENT

| PLEASE CIRCLE THE ADJECTIVE RATING WHICH BEST REFLECTS YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE. | |
|--|---|
| 1. QUALITY: | |
| a) Quality of technical data/report preparation efforts | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| b) Ability to meet quality standards specified for technical performance | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| c) Timeliness/effectiveness of contract problem resolution without extensive customer guidance | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| d) Adequacy/effectiveness of quality control program and adherence to contract quality assurance requirements (without adverse effect on performance) | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| 2. SCHEDULE/TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE: | |
| a) Compliance with contract delivery/completion schedules including any significant intermediate milestones. <i>(If liquidated damages were assessed or the schedule was not met, please address below)</i> | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| b) Rate the contractor's use of available resources to accomplish tasks identified in the contract | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| 3. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: | |
| a) To what extent were the end users satisfied with the project? | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| b) Contractor was reasonable and cooperative in dealing with your staff (including the ability to successfully resolve disagreements/disputes; responsiveness to administrative reports, businesslike and communication) | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| c) To what extent was the contractor cooperative, businesslike, and concerned with the interests of the customer? | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| d) Overall customer satisfaction | <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| 4. MANAGEMENT/ PERSONNEL/LABOR | |
| a) Effectiveness of on-site management, including management of subcontractors, suppliers, materials, and/or labor force? | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| b) Ability to hire, apply, and retain a qualified workforce to this effort | <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| c) Government Property Control | E VG <input checked="" type="radio"/> M U N |
| d) Knowledge/expertise demonstrated by contractor personnel | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| e) Utilization of Small Business concerns | E VG S M U N |
| f) Ability to simultaneously manage multiple projects with multiple disciplines | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| g) Ability to assimilate and incorporate changes in requirements and/or priority, including planning, execution and response to Government changes | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| h) Effectiveness of overall management (including ability to effectively lead, manage and control the program) | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |
| 5. COST/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT | |
| a) Ability to meet the terms and conditions within the contractually agreed price(s)? | E <input checked="" type="radio"/> VG S M U N |

NAVFAC / USACE Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ)

Form PPQ -0 (9/30/11)

| | |
|---|--|
| b) Contractor proposed innovative alternative methods/processes that reduced cost, improved maintainability or other factors that benefited the client | E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VG S M U N |
| c) If this is/was a Government cost type contract, please rate the Contractor's timeliness and accuracy in submitting monthly invoices with appropriate back-up documentation, monthly status reports/budget variance reports, compliance with established budgets and avoidance of significant and/or unexplained variances (under runs or overruns) | E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VG S M U N |
| d) Is the Contractor's accounting system adequate for management and tracking of costs? <i>If no, please explain in Remarks section.</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes No |
| e) If this is/was a Government contract, has/was this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience or are there any pending terminations? <i>Indicate if show cause or cure notices were issued, or any default action in comment section below.</i> | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| f) Have there been any indications that the contractor has had any financial problems? <i>If yes, please explain below.</i> | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 6. SAFETY/SECURITY | |
| a) To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan, and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.) | E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VG S M U N |
| b) Contractor complied with all security requirements for the project and personnel security requirements. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VG S M U N |
| 7. GENERAL | |
| a) Ability to successfully respond to emergency and/or surge situations (including notifying COR, PM or Contracting Officer in a timely manner regarding urgent contractual issues). | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VG S M U N |
| b) Compliance with contractual terms/provisions (<i>explain if specific issues</i>) | E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VG S M U N |
| c) Would you hire or work with this firm again? (<i>If no, please explain below</i>) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes No |
| d) In summary, provide an overall rating for the work performed by this contractor. | E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VG S M U N |

Please provide responses to the questions above (*if applicable*) and/or additional remarks. Furthermore, please provide a brief narrative addressing specific strengths, weaknesses, deficiencies, or other comments which may assist our office in evaluating performance risk (*please attach additional pages if necessary*):